



**MILPITAS CITY COUNCIL
MEETING AGENDA
TUESDAY, MARCH 6, 2012**

**6:30 P.M. (CLOSED SESSION) • 7:00 P.M. (PUBLIC BUSINESS)
455 E. CALAVERAS BLVD, MILPITAS, CA**

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

- I. CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL by the Mayor (6:30 p.m.)**
- II. ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION OF CITY COUNCIL**
 - 1) CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION**

Pursuant to CA Government Code Section 54956.9(a)
Giordano v. City of Milpitas Court Case No.111CV215832
 - 2) CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR - COLLECTIVE BARGAINING**

Pursuant to CA Government Code Section 54957.6 City Negotiator: Tom Williams
Employee Group: International Association of Fire Fighters Local 1699
Under Negotiation: Wages, Hours, Benefits, and Working Conditions
- III. CLOSED SESSION ANNOUNCEMENT:** Report on action taken in Closed Session, if required pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.1, including the vote or abstention of each member present
- IV. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (7:00 p.m.)**
- V. INVOCATION (Councilmember Gomez)**
- VI. APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES – February 9 and 21, 2012**
- VII. SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS – COUNCIL CALENDAR for March 2012**
- VIII. PRESENTATION** Proclaim Saturday, March 10, 2012 as *Arbor Day*
- IX. PUBLIC FORUM**

Members of the audience are invited to address the Council on any subject not on tonight's agenda. Speakers must come to the podium, state their name and city of residence for the Clerk's record, and limit their remarks to three minutes. As an unagendized item, no response is required from City staff or the Council and no action can be taken; however, the Council may instruct the City Manager to agendize the item for a future meeting.

- X. ANNOUNCEMENTS**
- XI. ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST**
- XII. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**
- XIII. CONSENT CALENDAR (Items with asterisks*)**

Consent calendar items are considered to be routine and will be considered for adoption by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the City Council, member of the audience, or staff requests the Council to remove an item from or be added to the consent calendar. Any person desiring to speak on any item on the consent calendar should ask to have that item removed from the consent calendar. If removed, this item will be discussed in the order in which it appears on the agenda.

XIV. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- * 1. **Reaffirm Vote Eliminating Library Hours and 4th of July Fireworks (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)**
- 2. **Receive Budget Update from the City Manager and Consider Actions as may be Required for Cost Reductions in the General Fund and All Other Funds Related to Redevelopment Agency Full Time Equivalent (RDA FTEs) employees, and in the Information Services, Police and Fire Departments (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)**
- * 3. **Receive February 2012 Odor Control Report from Staff (Staff Contact: Kathleen Phalen, 586-3345)**

XV. REPORT OF MAYOR

- * 4. **Consider Mayor's Recommendation for Re-appointment to the Telecommunications Commission (Contact: Mayor Esteves, 586-3029)**

XVI. NEW BUSINESS

- * 5. **Authorize the Mayor to Send a Comment Letter on the Proposed Expansion of the South Bay Water Recycling System (Staff Contact: Kathleen Phalen, 586-3345)**
- 6. **Review Voter Survey Results for a Potential Revenue Ballot Measure on June 5, 2012 (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)**

XVII. ORDINANCES

- 7. **Waive the Second Reading and Adopt Ordinance No. 101.22 for Amendments to the Contract Between the Board of Administration of California Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and the Milpitas City Council (Staff Contact: Carmen Valdez, 586-3086)**
- 8. **Waive the Reading Beyond the Title and Adopt Ordinance No. 262.7 Removing the Barrier Found in the Open Government Ordinance to Application of the "Balancing Test" Allowed Under the Public Records Act (Staff Contact: Michael Ogaz, 586-3040)**

XVIII. RESOLUTIONS

- * 9. **Adopt a Resolution Granting Initial Acceptance of, Reducing the Performance Bond for Project No. 2001 and Authorizing the City Manager to Approve a Balancing Contract Change Order for Light Rail Median Landscaping - Phase 1 (Staff Contact: Kathleen Phalen, 586-3345)**
- * 10. **Adopt a Resolution Granting Initial Acceptance of and Reducing Performance Bond for Street Resurfacing 2012 for Rubberized Seal Coat, Project No. 4261 (Staff Contact: Steve Erickson, 586-3301)**
- 11. **Consider Adopting a Resolution Calling for Election on June 5 for Ballot Measure Related to Sales Tax Revenue (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)**

XIX. ADJOURNMENT

**NEXT CITY COUNCIL MEETING: BUDGET WORKSHOP
THURSDAY, MARCH 8, 2012 AT 6:00 P.M.**

**NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED COUNCIL MEETING
TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2012 AT 7:00 P.M.**

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE OPEN GOVERNMENT ORDINANCE

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public.

Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and the City operations are open to the people's review.

For more information on your rights under the Open Government Ordinance or to report a violation, contact the City Attorney's office at Milpitas City Hall, 455 E. Calaveras Blvd., Milpitas, CA 95035
e-mail: mogaz@ci.milpitas.ca.gov / Fax: 408-586-3056 / Phone: 408-586-3040

The Open Government Ordinance is codified in the Milpitas Municipal Code as Title I Chapter 310 and is available online at the City's website www.ci.milpitas.ca.gov by selecting the Milpitas Municipal Code link.

Materials related to an item on this agenda submitted to the City Council after initial distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection at the City Clerk's Office at Milpitas City Hall, 455 E. Calaveras Blvd., Milpitas, and at the Milpitas Library during normal business hours.

APPLY TO BECOME A CITY COMMISSIONER!

Current vacancy exists on the:

Arts Commission/Public Art Committee (alternate)

Applications are available online at www.ci.milpitas.ca.gov or at City Hall, City Clerk's office.
Contact the City Clerk's office (586-3003) for more information.

If you need assistance, per the Americans with Disabilities Act, for any City of Milpitas public meeting, call the City Clerk at 586-3001 or send an email to mlavelle@ci.milpitas.ca.gov prior to the meeting. You may request a larger font agenda or arrange for mobility assistance. For hearing assistance, headsets are available in the Council Chambers for all meetings. TDD phone number (408) 586-3013.

AGENDA REPORTS

XIV. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

- * 1. **Reaffirm Vote Eliminating Library Hours and 4th of July Fireworks (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)**

Background: The purpose of this item is for the City Council to reaffirm its decision to eliminate the 4th of July fireworks and de-fund an additional 13 Milpitas library hours a week funded by the City.

An article in a local online blog alleged that the agenda for the subject item violated the Brown Act and the City did not notify citizens that the library hours and 4th of July fireworks were proposed to be cut until the day of the Council meeting. This was not true and the Brown Act was not violated. The final agenda with the recommendation to make those cuts was available at the City Clerk's office, online and available to the public on the Wednesday before the Tuesday Council meeting. However, since the issue was raised, in order to provide the utmost transparency, openness and service to the community, several members of the City Council requested the item be placed back on the agenda. This allows for any and all citizens who may not have been aware of the item an opportunity to provide testimony if desirous.

The final agenda for the Council meeting of February 21, 2012 included the recommendation to eliminate funding for the two programs and was available at the City Clerk's office six days before the Council meeting on Wednesday, February 15. The agenda was also posted to the City website on Thursday, February 16. Additionally, staff notes that these particular budget cuts had been on the agenda and had been discussed by the Council and public at the previous City Council meeting on February 7, 2012.

Fiscal Impact: These proposed savings total \$503,000 annually: \$428,000 library and \$75,000 fireworks.

Recommendation: Reaffirm vote to eliminate additional library hours effective April 1, 2012 and cancel the 4th of July 2012 Fireworks event while maintaining the community swim party.

- 2. **Receive Budget Update from the City Manager and Consider Actions as may be Required for Cost Reductions in the General Fund and All Other Funds Related to Redevelopment Agency Full Time Equivalent (RDA FTEs) employees, and in the Information Services, Police and Fire Departments (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)**

Background: This update provides the City Council with a response to questions and direction received at the previous City Council meeting of February 21, 2012. Presentations will be made by Information Services, Police and Fire Departments.

Information Services completed a salary comparing City employees to their private sector counterparts. The following table presents this comparison and as noted, it is cost beneficial for the City to retain an in-house Information Services Division by approximately \$240,000 to \$320,000 annually. This finding is significantly different from the cost comparison studies completed for other divisions in the City such as park maintenance, street maintenance and street tree maintenance. Contracting to private sector vendors for these latter services is projected to save the City over \$2 million annually.

IS Contract Cost Comparison

City Classification	Contract Classification	City Staff		City of Santa Clara		Town of Hillsborough	
		Hourly Loaded Cost	Annual Loaded Cost	Contract Hourly Rate*	Est Annual Contract Cost**	Contract Hourly Rate*	Est Annual Contract Cost***
Information Services Director	Account Executive	\$ 104.32	\$ 216,980.00	\$ 138.83	\$ 253,225.92	\$ 120.00	\$ 218,880.00
Operations Manager	Application Services Program Mgr	\$ 80.56	\$ 167,574.00	\$ 128.37	\$ 234,146.88	\$ 120.00	\$ 218,880.00
Applications Manager	UMIS Business Analyst	\$ 80.56	\$ 167,574.00	\$ 95.30	\$ 173,827.20	\$ 120.00	\$ 218,880.00
GIS Manager	Technical Software Analyst	\$ 80.56	\$ 167,574.00	\$ 111.98	\$ 204,251.52	\$ 120.00	\$ 218,880.00
Telecommunications Manager	Infrastructure Services Manager	\$ 80.56	\$ 167,574.00	\$ 102.27	\$ 186,540.48	\$ 120.00	\$ 218,880.00
Systems Administrator (Web)	Web Developer	\$ 73.75	\$ 153,398.00	\$ 80.85	\$ 147,470.40	\$ 80.00	\$ 145,920.00
Systems Administrator (App Dev)	Informix/MS Sql DBA	\$ 73.75	\$ 153,398.00	\$ 116.43	\$ 212,368.32	\$ 80.00	\$ 145,920.00
Systems Administrator (App Sup)	UMIS Application Analyst	\$ 73.75	\$ 153,398.00	\$ 88.11	\$ 160,712.64	\$ 80.00	\$ 145,920.00
Desktop Technician	End User Services Engineer	\$ 53.90	\$ 112,118.00	\$ 63.50	\$ 115,824.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 145,920.00
Desktop Technician	End User Services Engineer	\$ 53.90	\$ 112,118.00	\$ 63.50	\$ 115,824.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 145,920.00
Desktop Technician	End User Services Engineer	\$ 53.90	\$ 112,118.00	\$ 63.50	\$ 115,824.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 145,920.00
Desktop Technician	End User Services Engineer	\$ 53.90	\$ 112,118.00	\$ 63.50	\$ 115,824.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 145,920.00
			\$ 1,795,942.00		\$ 2,035,839.36		\$ 2,115,840.00

Notes:

*Exhibit "D" City of Santa Clara and ACS Enterprise Solutions Agreement 11/03/09

**Hourly rate X 1824 hours [365 days minus 104 weekends, 13 holidays, 20 days vacation & sick = 228 days times 8 hours]

***Town of Hillsborough IT Services Agreement 4/30/2003

Excludes 24 X 7 Support

Both the Fire Chief and the Police Chief are in the process of completing their analyses related to cost savings that could result from reorganization and alternate staff utilization plans.

The Fire Department is analyzing the cost savings of maintaining four current line vacancies in conjunction with the current IAFF Local 1699 agreed upon six vacancies. Scenarios will include reducing the minimum daily staffing from 15 to 12 through the “brownout” of a Milpitas Fire apparatus. The objective of the fire cost reduction is to achieve an additional savings of approximately \$720,000.

The Police Department is analyzing the cost savings in a number of different areas and scenarios as well. Currently, there are five vacancies that include three patrol officers, a dispatcher and a records clerk. If these positions remain vacant, the savings is approximately \$760,000 annually. In addition, the Police Department is analyzing the cost savings and service impacts related to the elimination of the following:

Police Department Cost Saving Scenario

5 Current Police vacancies	\$ 760,000
1 Commercial Enforcement Officer	\$ 205,000
2 Additional Officers assigned to Great Mall	\$ 400,000
2 School Resources Officer	\$ 400,000
1 Character Counts Officer	\$ 200,000
1 Community Relations Sergeant	\$ 250,000
Total	\$2,215,000

Also, a request was received from Councilmember Gomez for allocation of Redevelopment tax increment revenue to General Fund positions. That analysis is included in the agenda packet.

Fiscal Impact: An estimated potential of \$2.93 million annual savings.

Recommendation: Take action as City Council deems appropriate.

- * 3. **Receive the February 2012 Odor Control Report from Staff (Staff Contact: Kathleen Phalen, 586-3345)**

Background: From January 17 through February 13, 2012, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) received eleven odor complaints originating in Milpitas. Two identified a garbage-related odor, one identified a sewage-related odor and eight did not identify a source. As of the last Council update, the City’s odor reporting website has received thirteen reported complaints. Staff activities to coordinate with the City of San Jose on Water Pollution

Control Plant odor mitigation efforts are described in the report included in the Council agenda packet.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Recommendation: Receive the February 2012 odor report.

XV. REPORT OF MAYOR

- * 4. **Consider Mayor's Recommendation for Re-appointment to the Telecommunications Commission (Contact: Mayor Esteves, 586-3029)**

Background: Mayor Esteves recommends M. Idrees Munir be re-appointed to the Telecommunications Commission to a term that expires in January 2014.

Recommendation: Approve Mayor's recommendation for re-appointment of M. Idrees Munir to the Telecommunications Commission.

XVI. NEW BUSINESS

- * 5. **Authorize the Mayor to Send a Comment Letter on the Proposed Expansion of the South Bay Water Recycling System (Staff Contact: Kathleen Phalen, 586-3345)**

Background: On March 13, the San Jose City Council has scheduled a public hearing for adoption of a mitigated negative declaration for the South Bay Water Recycling (SBWR) Northern Regional Connector Pipeline. This is a proposed \$20 million project to extend a 30-inch recycled water transmission line three miles to interconnect with the City of Sunnyvale's system for the purpose of supplying recycled water to Sunnyvale followed by further planned extension into City of Cupertino to supply the Apple II campus. Because this is a project of the San Jose/ Santa Clara Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP), the San Jose City Council will request a recommendation from the Treatment Plant Advisory Committee (TPAC), of which City of Milpitas is a member, on March 8.

Although recycled water is considered an environmentally sustainable long-term solution to future regional water supply shortages, significant unresolved policy and financial issues arise from San Jose's plan to expand what is currently a WPCP-funded sewer program to supply recycled water beyond the WPCP service area. In 1995, the City of Milpitas and other tributary agencies amended their sewage treatment master agreements with the cities of San Jose and Santa Clara to agree to share all costs to build, operate, and maintain SBWR. SBWR was a necessary WPCP project because the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) imposed a flow cap on the WPCP to keep it from exceeding the 120 million gallons per day (mgd) it was discharging into San Francisco Bay at that time. The flow cap addressed concern that higher volumes of sewage would reduce salinity levels in the salt marsh habitat. However over the past decade, WPCP discharges to the Bay have been dropping to a current rate of 91 mgd. Therefore, at this time, the TPAC sewer agencies do not need to expand the SBWR system to comply with the 120 mgd flow cap.

Unresolved policy and financial issues include establishing the rights and responsibilities of all parties who are current or future beneficiary of SBWR. These stakeholders include the sewer customers represented by TPAC and the regional water customers represented by Santa Clara Valley Water District and other public and private water agencies who plan to sell the water. TPAC agencies invested over \$250 million to construct the existing SBWR infrastructure and continue subsidizing the ongoing operation and maintenance costs. Recycled water operates at a loss because it is both expensive to produce and its price must be discounted below potable water to provide incentive for customer acceptance.

In response to concerns raised by technical staff advising TPAC, San Jose staff agreed last summer to convene a staff-level ad hoc stakeholder strategy committee to address SBWR governance, system ownership, financial responsibility, water rights, and the ultimate service area. The work of this committee was intended to guide preparation of a Master Plan later this year for future SBWR expansion. Unfortunately, the ad hoc committee work is only now beginning and is expected to take many months to complete, while work on the Master Plan is scheduled to begin immediately. Expansion into Sunnyvale without benefit of this important work is premature and inappropriate.

Staff will submit comments on the mitigated negative declaration which were due March 5. In addition, staff recommends that Council submit a comment letter to TPAC and the San Jose City Council expressing concern that there are significant policy and financial issues to be resolved before further SBWR expansion is contemplated. A suggested letter for the Mayor's signature is included in the Council agenda packet.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Recommendation: Authorize the Mayor to send a comment letter to City of San Jose and other TPAC agencies on the proposed expansion of the SBWR system.

6. Review Voter Survey Results for a Potential Revenue Ballot Measure on June 5, 2012 (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)

Background: The City Council authorized the firm of Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates (FM3) to conduct a random sample scientific survey of likely voters in the upcoming June primary election to determine the level of support for a revenue measure, such as a sales tax increase or utility users tax.

Survey results show that 63% of voters feel the City of Milpitas is headed in the right direction, and a majority of voters stated they favor a one-half cent to one-quarter cent sales tax to maintain essential City services. The survey further indicates that 61% of voters initially favor or lean towards favoring a one-half cent sales tax to maintain essential City services such as police and fire protection; 9-1-1 emergency response; gang and youth violence prevention; pothole repair and street paving; and library, youth, senior and other City services.

While the overall support for the sales tax exceeds the 50% threshold necessary for passing the sales tax, the intensity of support for the measure is not as strong as the City would like. Of the 61% of voters who stated they would vote "yes" on a potential one-half cent sales tax, only 28% of those stated they would "definitely" vote yes and 28% stated they would "probably" vote yes. The remaining 6% stated they were undecided, but leaned towards supporting the measure.

An analysis of the survey results showed that only a small proportion of voters, 16%, believed that the City of Milpitas was in "great need" of more funding, while 37% stated that the City was in "some need" of additional funding, and 23% of voters said the City had "a little need" for more funding. 17% of voters stated the City had "no need" for additional funding.

Representatives of the firm Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates (FM3) will provide a detailed oral presentation of the survey findings at the City Council meeting.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Recommendation: Postpone going to the voters on June 5, 2012 and pursue a half-cent sales tax increase for the November 6, 2012 election.

XVII. ORDINANCES

7. Waive the Second Reading and Adopt Ordinance No. 101.22 for Amendments to the Contract Between the Board of Administration of California Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) and the Milpitas City Council (Staff Contact: Carmen Valdez, 586-3086)

Background: On February 7, 2012, the City Council introduced Ordinance No. 101.22 to amend the contract with the California Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) to provide Section 20475 (Different Levels of Benefits), Section 21363.1 (3% at 55 Full Formula) for public safety members, who enter membership as a new hire with the City of Milpitas, after the effective date of this amendment to the contract. Costs were disclosed as is required under Government Code Section 7507. The City Council is now recommended to waive the second reading and adopt Ordinance No. 101.22.

Fiscal Impact: There is no immediate fiscal impact at this time. Savings will be achieved with all new hires.

Recommendations:

1. Waive the second reading of Ordinance No. 101.22.
2. Adopt Ordinance No. 101.22 amending the contract between PERS and the City Council.

8. Waive the Reading Beyond the Title and Adopt Ordinance No. 262.7 Removing the Barrier Found in the Open Government Ordinance to Application of the "Balancing Test" Allowed Under the Public Records Act (Staff Contact: Michael Ogaz, 586-3040)

Background: This Ordinance was brought forward at the meeting of February 21, 2012, as an urgency ordinance to allow application of the balancing test found in the California Public Records Act to records requests made to the City of Milpitas. The ordinance did not garner the 4/5 vote required for an urgency ordinance and was thereafter introduced as an ordinary ordinance requiring this second reading. The language of the ordinance has been modified to eliminate the findings and other language applicable to an urgency ordinance, but otherwise remains unchanged from its introduction on February 21, 2012.

In originally bringing this matter forward at the last Council meeting, the City had relied on the advice of attorneys specializing in public law who were of the opinion that an ordinance restoring the balancing test should be enacted in order to assure the protection of sensitive security information in the City's possession. Alarm system schematics, the design drawings for terrorist panic buttons in City Hall, combinations to safes/evidence rooms and the details of the City's water system and its operation control equipment could all be used in order to defeat or sabotage those systems and are not protected by the current Open Government Ordinance, as was demonstrated by the recent Court decision. This ordinance will not make such records automatically exempt from public view, but will simply allow the importance of public safety and security to be considered in determining whether they should be disclosed.

Recommendations:

1. After the City Attorney reads the title of Ordinance No. 262.7, move to waive the second reading beyond the title.
2. Adopt Ordinance No. 262.7.

XVIII. RESOLUTIONS

- * **9. Adopt a Resolution Granting Initial Acceptance of, Reducing the Performance Bond for, and Authorizing the City Manager to Approve a Balancing Contract Change Order for Light Rail Median Landscaping - Phase 1, Project No. 2001 (Staff Contact: Kathleen Phalen, 586-3345)**

Background: On June 16, 2009, Council authorized the City Manager to award and execute a construction contract with California Trenchless, Inc. for the Light Rail Median Landscaping - Phase 1, Project No. 2001. This project installed 4,900 feet of new recycled water main along Great Mall Parkway and Thompson Street to provide irrigation water for the Light Rail median landscaping in Great Mall Parkway to be constructed in Phase 2, and other Transit Area developments. The project has been successfully completed. It is recommended that City Council approve a final balancing change order reflecting a final adjustment for payment of actual quantities of work. The final contract amount is \$1,039,592.44. Staff also recommends the reduction of the contractor's faithful performance bond to \$103,959.24, which is 10% of the final contract value.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Recommendations:

1. Approve the balancing contract change order for a final contract amount of \$1,039,592.44.
2. Adopt a resolution granting initial acceptance of the Light Rail Median Landscaping - Phase 1, Project No. 2001, subject to a one year warranty period and reduction of the faithful performance bond to \$103,959.24.

- * **10. Adopt a Resolution Granting Initial Acceptance of and Reducing Performance Bond for Street Resurfacing 2012 for Rubberized Seal Coat, Project No. 4261 (Staff Contact: Steve Erickson, 586-3301)**

Background: This project was awarded to Valley Slurry Seal Company on June 7, 2011. The project provided for pavement repairs and the application of a rubberized seal coat to twenty-four streets within the Pine's neighborhood. The project was successfully completed on-time and under budget. Staff recommends the City Council adopt a resolution granting initial acceptance of the project, and authorize the reduction of the contractor's faithful performance bond to \$72,441.71, which is 10% of the final contract value.

Fiscal Impact: None.

Recommendation: Adopt a resolution granting initial acceptance of the Street Resurfacing 2012 - Rubberized Seal Coat, Project No. 4261, subject to a one year warranty period and reduction of the faithful performance bond to \$72,441.71.

- 11. Consider Adopting a Resolution Calling for Election on June 5 for Ballot Measure Related to Sales Tax Revenue (Staff Contact: Tom Williams, 586-3050)**

Recommendation: At the request of the City Manager, the Council is requested to take one of the following actions:

1. Continue this matter to the Special Meeting on March 8, 2012 for Council adoption of a Resolution calling for an election on June 5, 2012 for a ballot measure seeking voter approval of a sales tax measure, or
2. Have this matter dropped from the Council agenda.

XIX. ADJOURNMENT

**NEXT CITY COUNCIL MEETING: BUDGET WORKSHOP
THURSDAY, MARCH 8, 2012 AT 6:00 P.M.**

**NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED COUNCIL MEETING
TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2012 AT 7:00 P.M.**