

Robert Marini, Milpitas resident, thanked the Knights of Columbus for their Thanksgiving dinner offered last Thursday to all those who attended. He complained about select expenditures of the City, with examples at the Milpitas Senior Center.

Rob Means, 1421 Yellowstone, referred to previous comments he made at the last meeting, regarding the McCandless property as a school site. He commented on a letter from the Attorney General's deputy Mr. Isenberg, and its content.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

City Manager Williams responded on one point to Mr. Means, stating the City had never made an offer regarding the McCandless land.

Vice Mayor Polanski thanked staff and residents who attended the wonderful Holiday Tree Lighting ceremony that was held last Sunday night. This meeting was the last one until 2014, so she wished happy holidays to all, and a safe time with friends and family.

Councilmember Montano also thanked those who came to the tree lighting ceremony. During Black Friday, she visited Great Mall where there was a lot of traffic. She asked that the City Manager and Police Chief work on a plan to get the Mall to better direct traffic on that day in the future, during the hours primarily from 1:00 to 6:00 PM.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

City Attorney Ogaz asked City Councilmembers if they had any personal conflicts of interest or reportable campaign contributions. No conflicts or contributions were reported.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion: to approve the agenda, as amended

City Manager Tom Williams requested to remove item no. 2 (public hearing on Town Center zoning) from the agenda, to be continued to a date uncertain. He also requested to remove item no. 10 (Resolution for Fire Department staffing) from the agenda.

Councilmember Giordano asked to hear agenda item No. 8 (Veterans Commission report) sooner on the agenda, and to move that and agenda item no. 5 (60th anniversary update) heard prior to the public hearings.

Motion/Second: Vice Mayor Polanski/Councilmember Giordano

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES: 0

CONSENT CALENDAR

Motion: to approve the Consent Calendar (items noted with *asterisk), as amended

Councilmember Giordano requested to put item no. 9 (FY 2012-13 audit report) on consent.

Mayor Esteves announced he would vote no on item no. 15 (Ordinance related to Trumark Waterstone project). He asked to remove agenda item no. 21 (agreement for police officers to work at Santa Clara stadium) from the consent calendar.

Motion/Second: Councilmember Giordano/Vice Mayor Polanski

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES: 0

City Manager Williams reported agreement was reached on the conditions of approval for the Trumark Waterstone development project, so staff would not return to Council on that issue.

* 6. November Odor Report

Received the November 2013 odor control report.

* 7. Commission Re-appointments

Re-appointed Barbara Ebright and Mary Banick to the Senior Advisory Commission as regular SAC members to terms that would expire in December 2015.

- * 9. Audit Report FY 2013 Accepted the City’s Comprehensive Annual Financial Report and other related Annual Audited Reports for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. No oral report was given, once the matter was moved to the consent calendar.
- *10. Fire Prevention Inspector This item was removed from the agenda.
- *11. Donation Request Considered request from the Firefighters Toy Program and approved a \$500 donation toward mailing expenses for the 2013 Toy Drive.
- *12. Report on Sale Equipment as Surplus Accepted a staff report on the sale of a sewer cleaner truck and a portable generator, with total proceeds from the sale amount were \$37,300.
- *14. Ordinance No. 38.810 Waived the second reading and adopted Ordinance No. 38.810 amending the Zoning Ordinance adding provisions for emergency shelters, single room occupancies, supportive housing, transitional housing, and reasonable accommodations.
- *15. Ordinance No. 38.811 Waived the second reading and adopted Ordinance No. 38.811 for Zone Change No. ZA12-0004, relative to the Trumark Waterstone residential project.

Mayor Esteves voted no on the ordinance while it remained on consent calendar, so the final vote to adopt Ordinance No. 38.811 was 4 AYES and 1 NO.
- *16. Resolution – Paragon Project Adopted Resolution No. 8320 granting initial acceptance of the South Main Street Improvements (Paragon) Project No. 3204, subject to a one year warranty period and reduction of the faithful performance bond to \$51,900.
- *17. Resolution – Soundwall Renovation Project Adopted Resolution No. 8321 granting initial acceptance of the 2013 Soundwall Renovation Project No. 4267, subject to a one-year warranty period, and reduction of the faithful performance bond to \$8,172.00.
- *18. Resolution – Fire Station No. 1 upgrades Adopted Resolution No. 8322 granting final acceptance of the Fire Station No. 1 Exterior Upgrades Project No. 3403 and releasing the contractor’s bond.
- *19. Resolution – Annual Developer Fee Disclosure Adopted Resolution No. 8323 reviewing and accepting the annual developer fee disclosure information for Fiscal Year 2012-13.
- *20. Resolution – New Hillside Home Adopted Resolution No. 8324 approving Site Development Permit No. SD13-0018, subject to conditions of approval for the construction of the new 6,000 square foot hillside home located at 826 Calaveras Ridge Drive.
- *22. Resolution – Weed Abatement Adopted Resolution No. 8326 declaring weeds on specific properties to be public nuisances, and set the public hearing date on this matter for January 7, 2014.
- *23. Resolution – for Wireless Telephone Services Adopted Resolution No. 8327 authorizing purchase of wireless voice service, wireless broadband service, accessories and equipment under the Western States Contracting Alliance cooperative contract in the amount not to exceed \$65,000 for fiscal year 2013-14 and in subsequent years, subject to City Council appropriation of funds.
- *24. Amendment to Agreement for Solid Waste Franchise 1. Approved an amendment to the Solid Waste Agreement with Republic Services N.A. establishing the January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015 maximum rates for the solid waste program, in accordance with contract requirements.
2. Approved an amendment to the Yard Trims Agreement establishing the January 1, 2014 through December 31, 2015 maximum rates for solid waste program, in accordance with contract requirements.
- *25. Agreement with Law Firm Approved an agreement with the firm of Goldfarb & Lipman for Professional Services in an amount not to exceed \$69,000, with appropriation of those funds, and authorized the City Attorney to execute the agreement.

*26. Amendment to Agreement for Senior Lunch program

Approved Amendment No. 1 to the agreement with Frank Szeto, an individual doing business as New Orient Restaurant, for Senior Nutrition Services at the Barbara Lee Senior Center in the amount of \$6,500, and extend the term of the agreement from December 31, 2013 to February 28, 2014.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Public Health Goals

Principal Utility Engineer Steve Machida presented the public health goals report for the Milpitas Municipal Water System for the year 2013 for data from 2010-2012, as required every three years. The state had established water standards for providers, per California Health & Safety Code 1647. City staff reviewed the past three years of water service having reviewed 6,000 water samples, and prepared a report to the state on public health goals. It included reporting on two constituents where results exceeded public health goals, for lead and coliform bacteria.

Mr. Machida described how lead and the bacteria were identified, and found that quantities measured did not exceed current public health goals. The City did contact the City's two water providers, who confirmed they operated at optimum levels. Lead got into water from older home plumbing (copper) so the City provided tips to homeowners on how to best handle water flow in the home.

Councilmember Montano asked how old the homes were of those whose water was sampled. Staff described those as older than 1986.

Mayor Esteves inquired if tap water was potable, after flushing or boiling it for 15 minutes (in circumstances Mr. Machida had defined). Staff replied yes, and that water met all state and federal water quality standards.

Next, the Mayor opened the public hearing.

Robert Marini, resident, asked how the City got 6,000 samples and how those were determined. He wanted to know which chemicals were high in which areas of the city.

Norm Richter, resident, commented on the wording of the report.

(1) Motion: to close the public hearing, after hearing two speakers

Motion/Second: Councilmember Giordano/Councilmember Gomez

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES : 0

Mr. Machida responded to Mr. Marini's question, explaining that the state directed which water customers to test. 37 houses tested for lead were also directed by the state, and then additionally the City sought volunteer residents to collect the samples.

(2) Motion: to accept the City of Milpitas 2013 Public Health Goals report and to direct staff to notify the California Department of Public Health of the public hearing

Motion/Second: Councilmember Giordano/Vice Mayor Polanski

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES: 0

2. Town Center Zoning

Item was continued to a date uncertain.

3. Single Use Carry Out Bags

Leslie Stobbe, Public Information Specialist in the Engineering Department, presented facts on single use plastic carry-out shopping bags and stated the recommendation for a

City ordinance to ban those items over time in Milpitas. She listed facts on production of the petroleum-based bags and recent history of actions in Milpitas, including the recommendation from the Recycling and Source Reduction Advisory Commission to adopt a ban.

The proposed ordinance would ban plastic bags starting in 2014. Paper bags would be available in the alternative, at a cost of 10 cents each, with a schedule to charge a higher fee of 25 cents each later in the year. This was similar to San Mateo and Alameda Counties, and the City of San Jose. A ban would not affect restaurants nor grocery store bags for produce or meat. A great deal of outreach to the business community was planned for first six months of 2014, if the proposal was adopted.

Councilmember Gomez asked staff about paper shopping bags' cost and why there would be a charge to customers for a bag. Ms. Stobbe noted the financial disincentive to consumers, and efforts to get folks to bring their own re-usable bags from home. The higher fee later would encourage shoppers to bring re-usable bags. Mr. Gomez was concerned for businesses in the City.

Vice Mayor Polanski referred to fines in the San Mateo County ordinance. Staff explained they would rely on the city schedule for fines based on violations of the municipal code. It would be up to the Public Works Director, similar to code enforcement. The proposed record keeping was discussed. The Vice Mayor was not favorable to the higher 25 cents fee for a paper bag, and had concerns about cleanliness of re-usable bags.

Councilmember Giordano asked if Milpitas and Los Gatos were the only cities without an adopted ordinance. Staff replied it was true of those cities that participated in the Environmental Impact Report with San Mateo County, as Milpitas had done. Meantime, Santa Clara and Gilroy had not adopted plastic bag bans. Ms. Giordano referred to efforts in the City of Campbell for a ballot initiative to undo the ban in that nearby city.

Mayor Esteves asked about the outreach meetings held and attendance. Staff reported that ten people were at the community meeting, and two at the business meeting. The Mayor commented that there would be more trees cut down to produce the paper bags, if those were mandated rather than plastic bags.

Councilmember Montano asked the definition of "bio-degradable" bags. Staff responded bags were not bio-degradable in any landfill. When left in the sun, a bag would ultimately break down, so that term was used as an advertising ploy. She had noticed a lot of trash like styrofoam cups, plastic bags, and other items along creeks and trails around the City. She favored being good stewards of the earth, with global warming already happening.

Next, Mayor Esteves opened the public hearing.

Victor Rossi, Merryhill School student, urged the ban on plastic shopping bags. Bags were not biodegradable, for which he provided some facts.

Alicia Tsung, 6th grade student at Merryhill School student, spoke in favor of the bag ban. She stated more information and gave her own experience.

Val Stolpe, 6th grade student at Merryhill School, spoke of what it would be like to be a plastic bag. She noted the impact on the food chain and urged an end to the massacre of the environment and pollution. She suggested the City provide free reusable bags. Council could ask businesses to give back a small amount each time customers use their own bag.

A man, said some were playing fast and loose with facts, and he disputed facts stated by City staff in the presentation. He said people were trying to pull the wool over people's eyes. He disagreed with any charge for a paper bag by stores. There would be an impact on the businesses in Milpitas, too.

Robert Marini, Milpitas resident, stated there was another way to change behavior, other than to charge for the paper bag. One could reward folks for using their own bags, not charging too high a price for a bag. Stores would transfer the cost of bags by increasing food prices.

Frank, Milpitas resident, was not in favor of the plastic bag ban. He did not see plastic bags along river banks where he had camped and done recreation. He noted bacteria in reusable bags that customers used and quoted from a study.

Tim Howard, business owner and resident of Milpitas, appreciated efforts by the City to eliminate plastic bags. But, any ban presented a problem to small businesses, in terms of having to account for sales tax and report this information to the state, if they did not have an automatic POS (point-of-sale) system. The Chamber of Commerce recommended to do away with the mandatory charge for the paper bags. The Chamber asked to exempt small businesses and had concerns for health aspects of the re-usable bags.

Ed Blake, 1172 N. Hillview Drive resident and Chair of the Recycling and Source Reduction Advisory Commission, noted that the Commission discussed this topic two years ago and recommended the ordinance, even though it was not perfect. He showed samples of different re-usable bags. He termed plastic shopping bags as a “nuisance” to the customers. He strongly supported the proposed ordinance, as done with cities of Fremont and San Jose already.

Dean Peterson, Director of Environmental Health for San Mateo County, came to answer any questions on the completed Environmental Impact Report. He addressed the issue of charging for paper shopping bags. As for the record keeping, it was mainly to be able to report back to the regional water board, on meeting region-wide goals. His agency was working closely with small businesses to seek compliance. There was no sales tax on the charge for a paper bag, his agency learned from the state.

Richard Gertman, San Jose resident, had conducted the study that RSRAC heard in 2011. He was on the board of Californians Against Waste. 89 jurisdictions in California had a similar law as the one considered. He noted that 24 million plastic bags a year were estimated to be used in Milpitas.

Brad Hunt, of Save our Shores non-profit in Santa Cruz and a resident of San Jose, commented on behavior change that did occur with higher fee for paper bag. He remarked on the Carmel experience with no fee for a paper bag.

Kristal Caidoy, Milpitas resident, supported the staff recommendation, as a member of the local chapter of the Sierra Club seeking to protect the city and environment.

Joseph, from San Jose, noted the bag ban was not a success in San Jose. He urged going after manufacturers of the plastic bags made from petroleum.

Ed Ackerman, Vargas Ct Milpitas resident of 30 years, said the plastic bag issue was a result of environmental activism back in the 1970s, when efforts were made to reduce cutting down trees for paper. He was not in favor of the proposed ordinance.

Rob Means, 1421 Yellowstone Ave, heard some interesting comments at this meeting. He favored the ban, and commented on sustainability and the environment.

Liz Ainsworth, resident and President of the Board of the Chamber of Commerce, referred to a letter her group sent to the City Council on this date. The Chamber had spoken to local businesses, learned the pros and cons, so a balance was needed. She explained the point-of-sale system for many businesses. It was okay to ban plastic bags, with paper bags available at no charge to customers, while discarding the plan for a tally system with records required.

(1) Motion: to close the public hearing

Motion/Second: Councilmember Giordano/Vice Mayor Polanski

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES: 0

Councilmember Giordano would not support the bag ban. She quoted from a recent article she received, noting that it would be bad for business.

Vice Mayor Polanski had been using re-usable bags for several years. She came from a family of loggers, and still she considered herself an environmentalist all her life. She had been holding onto the plastic bags received at Milpitas stores and did re-use them. She would agree to exempt small businesses, a good idea, and agreed with a credit for use of one's own shopping bag, rather than a fee for a paper bag. She was of mixed opinion on this issue, while disagreeing with the proposed fees for paper bags.

Councilmember Montano appreciated hearing from the Chamber of Commerce President. She wanted some modifications to the proposed ordinance and to support small businesses with this change in their practices.

Mayor Esteves asked staff, about plastic or paper offering at grocery store, as in the past. With no fee, he wondered, what would be the impact. If staff reported that paper bags cost more than plastic to produce, then behavior won't change toward re-usable bags and costs to retailers would go up. He sought to understand real issues at hand, reducing plastic or costs of paper bags, or another. Staff responded that ultimately the goal was to eliminate bags at a retail store, and customers would use their own bags.

The Mayor felt it was possible for the City and its residents to go without plastic bags. And, if trash did not increase with the use of paper bags, that could be valuable, but needed data to support that. He agreed with colleagues there should be some changes to the proposed ordinance. Tracking was more of a burden to small business. He agreed with ten cents fee for a paper bag, but not the increase to 25 cents in one year.

Next, City Attorney Mike Ogaz read aloud the title of Ordinance No. 287, "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Milpitas Adding Chapter 5 of Title III of the Milpitas Municipal Code Relating to Single-Use Bags." No motion was made to introduce it.

Motion: to deny the staff recommendation, and not adopt a resolution making Findings nor consider the proposed ordinance to ban single-use plastic carry out shopping bags

Motion/Second: Councilmember Giordano/Councilmember Gomez

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 3
NOES: 2 (Esteves, Montano)

The Mayor announced the City Council would take a short break, following this vote.

4. Two Year Review of CDBG Priorities

Principal Planner Felix Reliford addressed the City Council, noting two letters staff had received regarding Community Development Block Grant funds. He explained the request from Project Sentinel, which hoped to have funding restored to past amounts granted. Mr. Reliford reviewed with the Council priorities for public services, administration, and housing-related expenditure of the federal grant money. Staff recommended maintenance of the previously established priorities.

City Manager Williams clarified that this item was not about distribution of CDBG funds at this meeting, but was rather a consideration of priorities for future funding allocations.

Mayor Esteves asked where Project Sentinel funding would fall. Mr. Reliford explained it was from a separate segment of the grant, not public services. It was housing-related under different criteria for CDBG that the non-profit grants.

Next, Mayor Esteves opened the public hearing.

Project Sentinel staff Anky Zandersum and Molly Current (Milpitas resident) addressed the Council, asking members to consider restoring funding to their agency at the level previously provided, and to match other cities' commitments. An additional \$15,000 was sought to continue providing much needed services to tenants in Milpitas, especially with rent increases, and the number of people soon to become homeless.

(1) Motion: to close the public hearing

Motion/Second: Councilmember Giordano/Councilmember Gomez

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES: 0

(2) Motion: to direct staff to place on a future agenda the Project Sentinel funding request at a future Milpitas Housing Authority meeting, and, to approve maintaining the existing CDBG two-year funding priorities and policies for FY 2014-16, as recommend by the Community Advisory Commission

Motion/Second: Councilmember Giordano/Vice Mayor Polanski

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES: 0

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Heard prior to Public Hearings.

5. 60th Anniversary Update

Recreation Department staff Renee Lorentzen and Dale Flunoy provided an update on events planned for the city's 60th anniversary. It would start with a gala on January 25, 2014, including unveiling of Minute Man, and Family Day activities on January 26. \$21,000 in committed cash sponsorships were received toward the 60th events to date. Mr. Flunoy reported that invitations were sent out for the celebration gala. New street banners would be installed throughout the City celebrating the anniversary year. The budget remained at the anticipated amount of \$50,000.

Vice Mayor Polanski thanked the staff and committee that have worked so hard on the events coming up in 2014.

No action was taken upon conclusion of staff report.

REPORT OF COMMISSION

This topic was heard prior to Public Hearings.

8. Veterans Commission

Veterans Commission Vice Chair Dana Arbaugh gave a positive report of the highly successful Car Show held in October. He highlighted tremendous help and input from Recreation staff Renee Lorentzen. This event yielded about \$4,000 with 27 sponsors, and approximately 100 cars on display in the show. Mr. Arbaugh presented a framed poster, signed by all car show participants to the Mayor and City Council, designed by Ed Ackerman. He gave it to the Council with fellow Veterans Commissioner Art Ebright.

No action was taken upon conclusion of report.

ORDINANCE

13. Ordinance Related to Campaign Contributions

City Attorney Mike Ogaz prepared a draft ordinance at the request of Vice Mayor Polanski, to ban transfers of any amounts more than \$250 (current contribution limit)

from any prior campaign committee of one official or candidate committee of the same person to another committee of that person. There was some risk associated with this type of ordinance, due to banning of Proposition 73 by the courts. Some cities, such as Long Beach, have enacted similar ordinance with careful construction.

Vice Mayor Polanski understood there were some state and county regulations that cover similar bans at those levels, and she wanted similar approach here at the city level. She sought elections to be fair for everyone, by adding the limit of all transfers to \$250.

City Attorney Ogaz explained that the state legislation enacted a restriction, with less level of risk, and the Council could do it this the way, as Vice Mayor Polanski suggested. A number of contributions from different donors could be transferred from one committee to another, but only in an amount up to \$250 maximum. He would need to edit text of the proposed ordinance to accomplish this concept. This could then entail less level of risk.

Councilmember Gomez only cared about constitutionality. He reviewed some past facts. He wanted to be sure from the City Attorney if the new proposed ordinance would be acceptable, and not challenged by someone causing attorney's fees. Mr. Ogaz would need to review what was proposed this evening to be more certain about risk of challenge.

Vice Mayor Polanski would like to move forward with the ordinance, with amendments, to have it revised and brought back for a second reading at the January 7, 2014 Council meeting.

City Attorney read aloud the title of Ordinance No. 243.7, "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Milpitas Amending Chapter 210 of Title I of the Milpitas Municipal Code Relating to Campaign Contribution Limits."

(1) Motion: to waive the first reading beyond the title of Ordinance No. 243.7

Motion/Second: Vice Mayor Polanski/Councilmember Giordano

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 5
NOES: 0

(2) Motion: to introduce Ordinance No. 243.7 requiring reimbursement of contributions in excess of \$250 – per donor and aggregated - from any candidate campaign bank account to a Milpitas candidate campaign bank account held by the same individual

The ordinance would be based on a new suggested revision requested by the Vice Mayor, and edited and revised by the City Attorney

Motion/Second: Vice Mayor Polanski/Councilmember Giordano

Mayor Esteves invited comments from the audience.

Robert Marini, Milpitas resident, asked questions to best understand the intent of the revised amendment. Who had control of the transfer of the money? Maybe the original donor would not want their money donated for one office to go to the campaign for a local, different office.

Vice Mayor Polanski said the candidate controlled the funds, no matter what race or office they were running for. This happens throughout the state all the time.

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 3
NOES: 2 (Esteves, Gomez)

Councilmember Giordano departed the meeting at 10:20 PM, following the vote on this matter.

RESOLUTIONS

Seven resolutions were adopted on the consent calendar.

21. Milpitas Police Officers at new Santa Clara Stadium

Mayor Esteves inquired about this issue, asking if this was considered outside employment. The City Manager replied yes. City Attorney Ogaz explained that the proposed resolution and agreement would provide protection for the City of Milpitas, for the job performed at the City of Santa Clara (reserve police officers at the football stadium). It would help liability-wise, by approving the agreement with the City of Santa Clara, and protecting Milpitas. Mayor Esteves wanted to make sure it was in conformance with City policy for outside employment and the City Manager said yes.

Motion: to adopt Resolution No. 8325 authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement substantially conforming to the proposed Agreement, regarding terms under which Milpitas Police Officers may serve as Reserve Officers for the City of Santa Clara at the new Santa Clara stadium

Motion/Second: Vice Mayor Polanski/Councilmember Montano

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 4
NOES: 0
ABSENT: 1 (Giordano)

JOINT MEETING
CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Esteves called to order the joint meeting of the City Council, Successor Agency and Housing Authority at 10:23 PM, with four members present. Councilmember Giordano was absent from this joint meeting.

Approval of Agenda and Consent Calendar

Motion: to approve the agenda for the joint meeting and adopt the consent calendar (one resolution below)

Motion/Second: Vice Mayor Polanski/Councilmember Montano

Motion carried by a vote of: AYES: 4
NOES: 0
ABSENT: 1 (Giordano)

***HA3. Purchase and Re-Sale of Affordable Housing Unit - 1077 Luz Del Sol Loop**

1. Adopted Joint Resolution No. 8328/SA 3 /HA11 of the City Council of the City of Milpitas, Successor Agency of the Milpitas Redevelopment Agency, and the Milpitas Housing Authority (i) approving the Assignment and Assumption Agreement between the Successor Agency of the Milpitas Redevelopment Agency and the Milpitas Housing Authority for the real property located at 1077 Luz Del Sol Loop, (ii) authorizing purchase of the affordable housing unit located at 1077 Luz Del Sol Loop from Milpitas Housing Authority funds in the amount not to exceed \$407,500, (iii) authorizing resale of the affordable housing unit located at 1077 Luz Del Sol Loop in the minimum amount of \$407,500 to an eligible low-income buyer, and (iv) authorize the Executive Director of the Milpitas Housing Authority to negotiate and execute all required documents to complete the purchase and resale of the affordable housing unit.

2. Approved an appropriation of \$407,500 from the Housing Authority Fund for purchase of the property located at 1077 Luz Del Sol Loop, Milpitas.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Esteves adjourned the joint meeting (including the Council meeting) at 10:24 PM.

The foregoing minutes were approved by the Milpitas City Council as submitted on January 7, 2014.



Mary Lavelle, Milpitas City Clerk